1	KEVIN V. RYAN (CASBN 118321) United States Attorney		
2	EUMI L. CHOI (WVSBN 0722) Chief, Criminal Division		
4	ROBERT DAVID REES (CASBN 229441) Assistant United States Attorney		
5 6 7	450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055 San Francisco, California 94102 Telephone: (415) 436-7210 Fax: (415) 436-7234	102	
8	Attorneys for Plaintiff		
9	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE COURT		
10	NORTHERN DIS	STRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
11	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
12	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) No. 3 05 70551	
13	Plaintiff,	(PROPOSED) ORDER AND (STIPULATION FOR CONTINUANCE) (FROM NOVEMBER 18, 2005 TO) (DECEMBER 16, 2005 AND EXCLUDING) (TIME FROM THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT) (CALCULATION (18 U.S.C. §	
14	V.		
15	ANTHONY TRAVIS,		
16	Defendant.) 3161(h)(8)(A)) AND WAIVING TIME LIMITS UNDER RULE 5.1	
17		- /	
18	With the agreement of the parties, and with the consent of the defendant, the Court enters		
19	this order scheduling an arraignment or preliminary hearing date of December 16, 2005 at		
20	9:30A.M. before the duty magistrate judge, and documenting the defendant's waiver of the		
21	preliminary hearing date under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1 and the exclusion of time		
22	under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161(b), from November 18, 2005 to December 16,		
23	2005. The parties agree, and the Court finds and holds, as follows:		
24	1. The defendant has been released of	on his own recognizance.	
25	2. The defendant agrees to an exclusion of time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. §		
26	3161(h)(8)(B)(iv) to provide continuity of counsel and reasonable time necessary for effective		
27	preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.		
28	3. The defendant waives the time limits of Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1 for		

preliminary hearing. 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

- 4. Counsel for the defense believes that postponing the preliminary hearing is in his client's best interest, and that it is not in his client's interest for the United States to indict the case during the normal 20-day timeline established in Rule 5.1.
- 5. The Court finds that, taking into the account the public interest in the prompt disposition of criminal cases, these grounds are good cause for extending the time limits for a preliminary hearing under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1. Given these circumstances, the Court finds that the ends of justice served by excluding the period from November 18, 2005 to December 16, 2005, outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. § 3161(h)(8)(A).
- 6. Accordingly, and with the consent of the defendant, the Court (1) sets a preliminary hearing date before the duty magistrate judge on December 16, 2005, at 9:30A.M., and (2) orders that the period from November 18, 2005 to December 16, 2005 be excluded from the time period for preliminary hearings under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1 and from Speedy Trial Act calculations under 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A) & (B)(iv).

17 IT IS SO STIPULATED:

18

19

21

23

24

DATED: November 17, 2005

20 Attorney for Defendant

22

DATED: November 16, 2005 ROBERT DAVID REES **Assistant United State** HOPPSTRI

IT IS SO ORDERED. 25

November 18, 2005

DATED:

Judge Nandor J. Vadas HON. NAN United States

26 27

28